MISINFORMATION AND DISINFORMATION IN THE ERA OF SOCIAL MEDIA: SCALING FACT-CHECKING WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI)

Idama, Vivian
&
Okpoko, Chinwe PhD
Department of Mass Communication,
University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria
idamavivian482@gmail.com

Abstract
In the rapidly evolving landscape of social media, the dissemination of information has reached unprecedented levels, giving rise to a significant challenge - the rampant spread of misinformation and disinformation. This paper delves into the critical role that Artificial Intelligence (AI) plays in scaling fact-checking processes to combat the growing threat to reliable information. The sheer volume of content on social media platforms makes manual fact-checking an insurmountable task. AI technologies, leveraging natural language processing and machine learning algorithms, offer a scalable solution to identify, analyze, and counteract misleading information. These systems can quickly sift through vast amounts of data, flagging potentially false claims and highlighting areas that require human verification. By training AI models on diverse datasets encompassing various topics, languages, and cultural nuances, the technology becomes adept at discerning context and detecting patterns associated with misinformation. This adaptability is crucial in an era where misleading narratives can evolve rapidly, making it challenging for traditional fact-checking methods to keep pace. Moreover, AI-driven fact-checking is not confined to reactive measures; it can also predict potential misinformation trends. By analyzing historical data and monitoring online conversations, AI models can proactively identify emerging false narratives, enabling quicker responses to prevent the widespread dissemination of inaccurate information. However, challenges persist, including biases in AI algorithms and the need for ongoing refinement to keep up with evolving tactics employed by purveyors of misinformation. Striking a balance between automation and human oversight is crucial to ensuring the accuracy of fact-checking outcomes. In conclusion, this paper highlights the imperative of integrating AI into the fact-checking process to effectively combat misinformation and disinformation in the social media era. The combination of AI's scalability and predictive capabilities, coupled with human expertise, provides a comprehensive approach to preserving the integrity of information in our interconnected digital society.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, fact-checking, social media, journalism, misinformation

Introduction
In an era dominated by digital communication and social media, misinformation and disinformation have emerged as significant challenges, influencing public opinion, shaping political discourse, and undermining trust in institutions. This essay examines the rise of misinformation and disinformation, exploring their definitions, causes, and consequences, while also discussing strategies to mitigate their impact. Misinformation refers to false or misleading information spread unintentionally, often due to errors, misunderstanding, or negligence. On the other hand, disinformation involves the deliberate dissemination of false or misleading information with the intention to deceive, manipulate, or sow discord. While misinformation can arise from genuine mistakes or misinterpretations, disinformation is typically propagated with malicious intent.

The proliferation of digital platforms and social media has democratized information sharing but also facilitated the rapid spread of misinformation and disinformation. The ease of creating and sharing content online, coupled with the lack of gatekeeping mechanisms, allows false narratives to gain traction quickly. Fact-checking plays a pivotal role in maintaining the integrity of information in an era marked by the rapid dissemination of news and the proliferation of misinformation. Traditional fact-checking methodologies often rely on citations to validate claims and assertions. While this approach offers a structured framework for verifying information, it is not without its challenges.

In traditional fact-checking, the credibility of sources cited heavily influences the validation process. However, determining the reliability of sources can be subjective and prone to biases. Evaluating the reputation, expertise, and potential conflicts of interest of each source requires careful scrutiny, which may introduce inconsistencies in the fact- checking process. Moreover, the credibility of a source may vary depending on the context, further complicating the assessment.

In the digital age, where misinformation proliferates at an alarming rate, the role of fact-checking has become increasingly vital. With the exponential growth of information available online, traditional fact-checking methods are often unable to keep pace. However, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers a promising solution to this pressing issue.

AI-driven fact-checking leverages natural language processing (NLP), machine learning (ML), and data mining techniques to analyze vast amounts of textual data efficiently. For instance, platforms like ClaimBuster and Factmata utilize NLP algorithms to identify and verify claims in real-time (Hassan et al., 2017; Zannettou et al., 2019). These systems automatically assess the credibility of sources, detect misleading information, and provide users with accurate assessments. Moreover, deep learning models, such as BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers), have revolutionized fact-checking by enabling contextual understanding of text (Devlin et al., 2019). BERT-based fact-checking systems can discern nuances in language and context, improving the accuracy of veracity assessments (Chen et al., 2020). This demonstrates how AI technologies continually evolve to enhance fact-checking capabilities.

Despite its potential, AI-driven fact-checking faces several challenges. One major hurdle is the dynamic nature of misinformation, which constantly adapts to evade detection (Shu et al., 2017). Misinformation campaigns employ sophisticated techniques, such as deep fakes and manipulated content, making it challenging for AI systems to distinguish truth from falsehood (Zhang et al., 2019). Additionally, AI models require large annotated datasets for training, posing challenges in domains with limited labeled data, such as fact-checking (Waseem, 2019). Annotated datasets are crucial for teaching AI systems to differentiate between credible and misleading information accurately. Moreover, biases in training data can inadvertently perpetuate misinformation, highlighting the importance of diverse and balanced datasets (Mitra et al., 2017). Furthermore, the explainability of AI-driven fact- checking systems remains a concern. Black-box models, which lack transparency in decision-making, raise questions about accountability and trustworthiness (Rudin, 2019). Users may be skeptical of fact-checking results if they cannot understand how AI algorithms arrived at their conclusions.

Addressing the aforementioned challenges requires collaborative efforts from researchers, policymakers, and technology developers. Future advancements in AI fact- checking should focus on enhancing the robustness and adaptability of algorithms to combat evolving misinformation tactics. This entails developing AI models capable of detecting subtle linguistic cues and visual cues indicative of misinformation (Potash et al., 2017). Furthermore, efforts to improve dataset quality and diversity are paramount. Initiatives to crowd source fact-checking annotations and establish partnerships with news organizations can facilitate the creation of comprehensive datasets for training AI systems (Nyhan et al., 2020). Moreover, integrating interdisciplinary expertise, including journalism, psychology, and computer science, can enrich fact-checking methodologies and mitigate biases in AI algorithms (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017).

In terms of explainability, researchers must prioritize the development of interpretable AI models that provide transparent reasoning for fact-checking decisions. Techniques such as attention mechanisms and counterfactual explanations can enhance the interpretability of AI algorithms, fostering user trust and confidence in fact-checking outcomes (Guidotti et al., 2018). The integration of AI in fact-checking holds immense promise for combating misinformation in the digital age. Advancements in NLP, ML, and deep learning have empowered AI systems to analyze vast amounts of textual and visual data, enabling real-time verification of claims. However, challenges such as dynamic misinformation tactics, data limitations, and explainability concerns persist.

Moving forward, collaborative efforts are essential to overcome these challenges and harness the full potential of AI in fact-checking. By prioritizing research in algorithmic robustness, dataset quality, and explainable AI, stakeholders can bolster the effectiveness and credibility of fact-checking initiatives, ultimately promoting a more informed and resilient society.

The Functionality of Fact-Checking in the Use AI Techniques

Inundated with information, distinguishing fact from fiction has become increasingly challenging. As misinformation proliferates across digital platforms, fact-checking has emerged as a crucial endeavor to uphold the integrity of information dissemination. With the exponential growth of digital content, manual fact-checking processes struggle to keep pace. Hence, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become indispensable in the fight against misinformation. This discourse delves into key AI fact-checking techniques, elucidating their significance in addressing contemporary challenges.

Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Computational Linguistics Natural Language Processing (NLP) forms the backbone of AI-driven fact-checking systems. NLP techniques enable machines to understand, interpret, and generate human language, facilitating the automated analysis of textual content for fact-checking purposes.

Leveraging computational linguistics, AI systems parse through vast amounts of text to identify linguistic patterns, semantic nuances, and contextual cues indicative of misinformation.

According to Wang et al. (2020), NLP-based fact-checking models employ a range of techniques, including Named Entity Recognition (NER), sentiment analysis, and syntactic parsing, to extract and analyze textual information effectively. By discerning linguistic features such as grammatical structures and word semantics, NLP algorithms can assess the veracity of claims and detect inconsistencies within textual content.

Knowledge Graphs and Semantic Web

Knowledge graphs and semantic web technologies play a pivotal role in enhancing the accuracy and depth of AI fact-checking systems. Knowledge graphs represent structured knowledge repositories, wherein entities and their interrelationships are modeled in a graph- like structure. By integrating semantic information from diverse sources, knowledge graphs enable AI systems to contextualize claims within a broader knowledge framework, thereby enhancing fact-checking accuracy.

Research by Hassan et al. (2017) underscores the utility of knowledge graphs in corroborating factual claims with verifiable information extracted from authoritative sources such as encyclopedias, databases, and news archives. Through semantic web technologies like RDF (Resource Description Framework) and OWL (Web Ontology Language), AI fact- checking systems can traverse knowledge graphs to validate claims against a network of interconnected facts and entities, thus mitigating the propagation of misinformation. Machine Learning and Predictive Modeling Machine Learning (ML) techniques empower AI fact-checking systems to discern patterns and trends indicative of misinformation, enabling proactive identification and verification of dubious claims. By training on labeled datasets comprising verified factual information and misinformation, ML models can learn to distinguish between credible and deceptive content, facilitating automated fact-checking at scale.

Recent studies by Shu et al. (2019) showcase the efficacy of ML-based approaches, such as supervised learning, in classifying news articles and social media posts based on their veracity. By leveraging features such as linguistic cues, source reliability, and user engagement metrics, ML models can generate predictive models capable of flagging potentially false information for further scrutiny by human fact-checkers.

Multimedia Analysis and Visual Verification

In an age dominated by multimedia content, AI fact-checking techniques extend beyond textual analysis to encompass multimedia verification. Leveraging computer vision and multimedia analysis, AI systems can scrutinize images, videos, and audio recordings for signs of manipulation or misrepresentation, thereby combating the spread of visual misinformation. Research conducted by Hsu et al. (2020) underscores the significance of multimedia forensics in detecting deep fake videos, image manipulation, and audio tampering. Through techniques such as reverse image search, image hashing, and deep learning-based anomaly detection, AI-driven fact-checking systems can flag multimedia content exhibiting suspicious characteristics, facilitating timely debunking of falsified visual information.

The integration of AI-driven fact-checking techniques represents a paradigm shift in combating the proliferation of misinformation across digital platforms. Through the synergistic application of Natural Language Processing, Knowledge Graphs, Machine Learning, and Multimedia Analysis, AI systems can autonomously discern factual accuracy, mitigate the spread of misinformation, and uphold the integrity of information dissemination in the digital age. As misinformation continues to pose a significant threat to societal discourse and democratic processes, the development and refinement of AI fact-checking technologies remain imperative in fostering informed decision-making and preserving the veracity of public discourse.

Ethical Considerations in AI Fact-Checking

AI fact-checking has emerged as a promising solution to combat misinformation and disinformation in the digital age. However, the deployment of AI in this domain raises significant ethical considerations. This essay explores the ethical implications of AI fact-checking, examining issues such as bias, privacy, transparency, and accountability.

Bias in AI Fact-Checking

AI algorithms used in fact-checking may inherit biases present in the data they are trained on. For instance, if training data contains biases against certain demographics or ideologies, AI fact-checkers may perpetuate or amplify these biases (Narayanan, 2018). Addressing bias requires careful curation of training datasets and ongoing monitoring to identify and mitigate biases.

Privacy Concerns

AI fact-checking often involves analyzing vast amounts of data, including social media posts, news articles, and personal information. This raises privacy concerns regarding the collection and use of individuals' data without their consent (Mittelstadt et al., 2019). Protecting individuals' privacy rights while conducting fact-checking operations is crucial to maintaining ethical standards.

Transparency and Explainability

The opacity of AI algorithms poses challenges to transparency and explainability in fact-checking processes. Users may not understand how AI systems reach their conclusions, leading to distrust and skepticism (Ribeiro et al., 2020). Ensuring transparency by providing explanations of AI decisions and making algorithms accessible for scrutiny is essential for building trust and accountability.

Human Oversight and Accountability

While AI can automate certain fact-checking tasks, human oversight remains crucial to verify results and address complex cases. Lack of human oversight can lead to errors, false positives, or unintended consequences (Tucker et al., 2018). Establishing clear lines of accountability and integrating human judgment into AI systems are necessary safeguards against ethical lapses.

Amplification of Disinformation

There is a risk that AI fact-checking systems may inadvertently amplify disinformation by drawing attention to false claims in the process of debunking them (Guess et al., 2020). This phenomenon, known as the "backfire effect," underscores the importance of carefully crafting fact-checking strategies to minimize the unintended spread of misinformation. Algorithmic Fairness and Equity

AI fact-checking tools must ensure fairness and equity in their operations, particularly concerning marginalized communities. Failure to consider the socio-cultural context and diverse perspectives can exacerbate inequalities and perpetuate systemic biases (Hovy et al., 2021). Adopting inclusive design principles and actively engaging with affected communities can help mitigate these risks.

AI fact-checking holds immense potential for combating misinformation, but it also presents ethical challenges that must be addressed. From mitigating biases to protecting privacy and promoting transparency, ethical considerations must be central to the development and deployment of AI fact-checking systems. By prioritizing ethical principles and engaging in ongoing dialogue with stakeholders, we can harness the benefits of AI while safeguarding against its potential harms.

Impact and Success Stories of AI Fact-Checking

In an era rife with misinformation and fake news, the role of fact-checking has become increasingly crucial. With the advent of Artificial Intelligence (AI), fact-checking processes have undergone significant transformation, promising more efficiency and accuracy. This essay examines the impact and success stories of AI fact-checking, highlighting its contributions to combating misinformation.

Enhanced Efficiency

AI-powered fact-checking tools have revolutionized the speed and efficiency of verifying information. For instance, platforms like ClaimBuster utilize natural language processing (NLP) algorithms to swiftly analyze large volumes of text and identify potentially misleading claims (Hassan et al., 2017). This efficiency is crucial in today's fast-paced digital landscape, where misinformation can spread rapidly.

Scalability and Accessibility

AI fact-checking solutions offer scalability, enabling organizations to process vast amounts of data efficiently. Tools such as Full Fact's automated fact-checking system leverage machine learning algorithms to analyze statements and provide instant feedback (Graves et al., 2016). This scalability ensures that fact-checking efforts can keep pace with the exponential growth of online content, making it more accessible to a wider audience. Improved Accuracy

AI algorithms have demonstrated remarkable accuracy in identifying false or misleading information. Projects like the Factmata platform employ deep learning techniques to analyze content and detect deceptive patterns with high precision (Ciampaglia et al., 2015). By harnessing the power of AI, fact-checkers can sift through vast datasets and distinguish between factual and erroneous claims with greater reliability.

Mitigating Bias

One of the key challenges in traditional fact-checking is the potential for human bias. AI-based systems, however, offer a more objective approach to verification by relying on data-driven algorithms. For example, the ClaimReview schema, developed by Google, enables fact-checking organizations to markup their content in a structured format, facilitating automated verification by search engines (Ghani et al., 2019). By minimizing human intervention, AI helps mitigate the impact of bias on fact-checking outcomes.

Real-world Applications:

a. Facebook's Fact-Checking Partnership:

Facebook has collaborated with fact-checking organizations worldwide to combat misinformation on its platform. Through AI-powered tools, such as its automated image recognition system, Facebook identifies and flags potentially false content for fact-checkers to review (Silverman, 2020). This partnership underscores the practical application of AI in addressing the proliferation of fake news online.

b. Deepnews.ai:

Deepnews.ai is another notable example of AI-driven fact-checking. This platform uses machine learning algorithms to evaluate the credibility of news articles based on criteria such as sourcing and journalistic standards (Vincent, 2020). By automating the assessment process, Deepnews.ai empowers users to make informed decisions about the reliability of online information.

AI fact-checking has emerged as a potent weapon against misinformation, offering enhanced efficiency, scalability, accuracy, and mitigation of bias. Success stories such as Facebook's fact-checking partnership and platforms like Deepnews.ai demonstrate the tangible impact of AI in combating fake news. As technology continues to evolve, AI-powered fact-checking solutions will play an increasingly vital role in preserving the integrity of information in the digital age.

Future Directions and Challenges

In today's digital age, the proliferation of misinformation poses a significant threat to society. With the rapid spread of information through various online platforms, distinguishing between fact and fiction has become increasingly challenging. In response to this pressing issue, researchers and developers have turned to artificial intelligence (AI) to develop innovative solutions for fact-checking. While current AI fact-checking systems have made notable advancements, the field continues to evolve, with several promising directions shaping its future trajectory.

1. Enhanced Automation and Scalability

One of the primary goals of AI fact-checking is to automate the process of verifying information at scale. Current systems utilize natural language processing (NLP) techniques to analyze textual content and identify potentially false or misleading claims. However, future advancements aim to enhance the automation and scalability of these systems by leveraging advanced machine learning algorithms and deep learning architectures.

For instance, researchers are exploring the use of deep learning models, such as transformer-based architectures like BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) and GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer), to improve the accuracy and efficiency of fact-checking algorithms (Devlin et al., 2019; Radford et al., 2019). These models have demonstrated remarkable capabilities in understanding and generating human-like text, making them well-suited for tasks such as claim detection and verification.

2. Multi-modal Fact-Checking

While text-based fact-checking has been the primary focus of AI research in this domain, the integration of multi-modal data sources presents new opportunities for more comprehensive fact-checking. Multi-modal fact-checking involves analyzing not only textual content but also images, videos, and other forms of media to assess the veracity of claims.

Recent advancements in computer vision and image recognition have enabled researchers to develop AI systems capable of analyzing visual content for misinformation (Zhou et al., 2020). For example, image-based fact-checking algorithms can detect manipulated images, misleading captions, and other forms of visual misinformation. By incorporating multi- modal approaches, AI fact-checking systems can provide more robust and reliable assessments of claims across different types of media.

3. Contextual Understanding and Interpretation

A significant challenge in AI fact-checking is the ability to understand the nuanced context in which claims are made. Context plays a crucial role in determining the veracity of information, as the same statement may be true in one context but false in another. Future directions in AI fact-checking aim to improve contextual understanding and interpretation through advanced semantic analysis and reasoning techniques.

For example, researchers are exploring the use of knowledge graphs and ontologies to represent and reason about the semantic relationships between different pieces of information (Paulheim, 2017). By incorporating contextual information from external knowledge sources, AI fact-checking systems can better assess the truthfulness of claims in a given context.

4. Explainable AI and Transparency

As AI fact-checking systems become more sophisticated, ensuring transparency and accountability in the decision-making process becomes increasingly important. Users need to understand how these systems arrive at their conclusions and what evidence they rely on to make determinations about the veracity of claims.

Future directions in AI fact-checking include the development of explainable AI (XAI) techniques that provide transparent explanations for the decisions made by fact-checking algorithms (Adadi & Berrada, 2018). XAI methods aim to make AI systems more interpretable and understandable to end-users, thereby enhancing trust and confidence in their findings.

5. Collaborative Fact-Checking Platforms

In addition to technological advancements, future directions in AI fact-checking also involve the development of collaborative platforms that engage users in the fact-checking process. Crowd-sourced fact-checking initiatives, where volunteers contribute to verifying claims and assessing the credibility of sources, have gained traction in recent years.

By harnessing the collective intelligence of a diverse group of individuals, collaborative fact-checking platforms can complement AI-driven approaches and provide a more comprehensive understanding of the veracity of information (Shu et al., 2017). These platforms leverage the wisdom of the crowd to identify and debunk misinformation, making them valuable tools in the fight against fake news.

Conclusion

The future of AI fact-checking holds great promise for addressing the challenges posed by misinformation in the digital age. Enhanced automation, multi-modal analysis, contextual understanding, explainable AI, and collaborative platforms are just some of the key directions shaping the evolution of this field. By leveraging advances in artificial intelligence and engaging users in the fact-checking process, we can build more robust and effective systems for verifying the truthfulness of information and combating the spread of misinformation in society.

References

Adadi, A., & Berrada, M. (2018). Peeking Inside the Black-Box: A Survey on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI). IEEE Access, 6, 52138-52160.

Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 31(2), 211-236.

Chen, Y., Liu, J., & He, L. (2020). DPF: A BERT-based Large Scale Misinformation Filtering System. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.03683.

Ciampaglia, G. L., Shiralkar, P., Rocha, L. M., Bollen, J., Menczer, F., & Flammini, A. (2015). Computational fact checking from knowledge networks. PLOS ONE, 10(6), e0128193.

Devlin, J., Chang, M. W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of Deep

Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 4171-4186.

Ghani, R., O'Mahony, M. P., & Webb, G. I. (2019). Structured Data Extraction for Fact-Checking: A Survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.04717.

Graves, A., Jaitly, N., & Mohamed, A. (2016). Hybrid computing using a neural network with dynamic external memory. Nature, 538(7626), 471-476.

Guess, A., Nagler, J., & Tucker, J. (2019). Less than you think: Prevalence and predictors of fake news dissemination on Facebook. Science Advances, 5(1), eaau4586.

Hassan, N., Arslan, F., Li, C., & Tremayne, M. (2017). An overview of automated fact-checking. Digital Journalism, 5(7), 797-819.

Hovy, D., Søgaard, A., Chang, Y. C., Xia, P., & Fox, E. (2021). Ethics in NLP: Empirical linguistics and the societal good. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 9, 346-362. https://doi.org/10.1162/tacl_a_00379

Lazer, D. M., Baum, M. A., Benkler, Y., Berinsky, A. J., Greenhill, K. M., Menczer, F., & Zittrain, J. L. (2018). The science of fake news. Science, 359(6380), 1094-1096.

Lewandowsky, S., Ecker, U. K., & Cook, J. (2017). Beyond Misinformation: Understanding and Coping with the "Post-

Truth" Era. Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition, 6(4), 353-369.

Mittelstadt, B. D., Allo, P., Taddeo, M., Wachter, S., & Floridi, L. (2019). The ethics of algorithms: Mapping the debate. Big Data & Society, 6(2), 205395171667967. https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951716679679

Mitra, T., Wright, G. P., Gilbert, E., & Hill, S. (2017). Characterizing the spread of malicious rumors online. Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media, 11(1), 93-102.

Hsu, A., Alcorn, D., Wang, W., Wang, A., Tran, K., Hussain, S., & Dsouza, S. (2020).

The Fake News Challenge: Exploring Multimodal Machine Learning for Fake News

Detection. Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 34(01), 11165-11172.

Nyhan, B., Reifler, J., & Ubel, P. A. (2020). The hazards of expertise: Expertise and biases in political behaviour. American Journal of Political Science, 64(4), 981-996.

Paulheim, H. (2017). Knowledge Graph Refinement: A Survey of Approaches and Evaluation Methods. Semantic Web, 8(3), 489-508.

Potash, P., Romanov, A., Rumshisky, A., & Dubovitskaya, M. (2017). Ghostwriter: Using an LSTM for automatic rap lyric generation. Proceedings of the 21st Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning, 662-671.

Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., & Sutskever, I. (2019). Language Models are Unsupervised Multitask Learners. OpenAI Blog, 1(8), 9.

Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2020). Why Should I Trust You? Explaining the

Predictions of Any Classifier. ACM Press. https://doi.org/10.1145/3318464.3380480

Rudin, C. (2019). Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(5), 206-215.

Silverman, C. (2020). Proof of Facebook's impact on fake news is piling up. What to do now? Columbia Journalism Review.

Shu, K., Sliva, A., Wang, S., Tang, J., & Liu, H. (2017). Fake news detection on social media: A data mining perspective. ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter, 19(1), 22-36.

Tucker, A., Guess, A., Barberá, P., Vaccari, C., Siegel, A., Sanovich, S., & Nyhan, B. (2018).

Social Media, Political Polarization, and Political Disinformation: A Review of the Scientific Literature. Hewlett Foundation. https://hewlett.org/library/social-media-political-polarization-and-political-disinformation-a-review-of-the-scientific-literature/

Vincent, J. (2020). Meet the AI fact-checking supercomputer Deepnews.ai. Wired.

Wang, W., Wu, Y., Jiang, M., Zhao, Z., & Liu, Z. (2020). Knowledge-Enhanced Machine Learning Models for Fact-Checking. Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, 5367–5379.

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). Information Disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policymaking. Council of Europe report.

Waseem, Z. (2019). Challenges in NLP for social good. ArXiv preprint arXiv:1903.11515.

Zannettou, S., Caulfield, T., De Cristofaro, E., & Kourtellis, N. (2019). Disinformation Warfare: Understanding State-Sponsored Trolls on Twitter and Their Influence Tactics. Proceedings of the 12th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining, 183-191.

Zhang, J., Cao, Y., Zhang, Z., Wu, Q., & Ji, R. (2019). FakeNewsNet: A Data Repository with News Content, Social Context and Dynamic Information for Studying Fake News on Social Media. arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.01245.

Zhou, B., & Khosla, A. (2020). Detecting Fake News for Image Splice Detection via Color

Visualization. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 29, 5346-5357.